UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/528,697	09/29/2005	Andreas Habich	268118US0PCT 4608		
22850 OBLON SPIN	7590 12/20/200 VAK, MCCLELLAND I	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE S		PALENIK, JEFFREY T			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 ART UNIT PAR			PAPER NUMBER		
			1615		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/20/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

•		Amaliantian		Applicant(a)			
Office Action Summary		Application	NO.	Applicant(s)			
		10/528,697	·	HABICH ET AL.			
		Examiner	*	Art Unit			
		Jeffrey T. Pa		1615			
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication app	ears on the d	over sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of of If NO period for reply is specified above, the ma - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	THE MAILING DA rovisions of 37 CFR 1.13 his communication. ximum statutory period w I for reply will, by statute, months after the mailing	TE OF THIS 6(a). In no event ill apply and will e cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION I, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a ation to become ABANDONEI	↓. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on <u>22 M</u> a	arch 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objecte 8) Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to reference continuous	is/are withdraw l. d. d to.						
Application Papers		٠.					
9) The specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that a Replacement drawing sheet(s) ir 11) The oath or declaration is objected to the specific transfer of transfer of the specific transfer of the specific transfer of transfer of the specific transfer of tr	is/are: a) acce ny objection to the c cluding the correcti	epted or b) drawing(s) be on is required	held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date		•	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/528.697

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-9, 12, 13, 15, 16, 19 and 21-25, drawn to solid choline ascorbate formulations with an inert surface coating agent, process for the preparation thereof and use thereof in food, animal feed or drugs.

Group II, claims 1-8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17 and 19-25, drawn to solid choline ascorbate-containing formulations embedded in an inert matrix, process for the preparation thereof and use thereof in food, animal feed or drugs.

Group III, claims 1-8, 11, 12, 16, 18, 19, and 21-25, drawn to solid choline ascorbate formulations loaded on a porous carrier, process for the preparation thereof and use thereof in food, animal feed or drugs.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: there is no special technical feature because U.S. Patent 2,823,166 teaches a vitamin composition containing choline ascorbate (claims 10-12).

No telephone call was made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement.

Application/Control Number:

10/528,697

Art Unit: 1615

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Application/Control Number:

10/528,697

Art Unit: 1615

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Application/Control Number:

10/528,697

Art Unit: 1615

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey T. Palenik whose telephone number is (571) 270-1966. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am - 5:00 pm; M-F (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone

Page 6

Application/Control Number:

10/528,697

Art Unit: 1615

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-

8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeffrey T. Palenik

Patent Examiner

MTCHAEL P. WOODWARD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600